Behavioral mimicry in Myrmarachne species (Araneae, Salticidae) from North Queensland, Australia

Fadia Sara Ceccarelli: School of Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Queensland,

Australia. E-mail: saracecca@hotmail.com

Abstract. Batesian ant mimics – such as salticids belonging to the genus *Myrmarachne* – resemble their models to deceive potential predators, sometimes including the ants themselves. *Myrmarachne* species in addition to being striking visual mimics of ants also wave their first pair of legs in the air, much like the antennal movement of ants. Whether this leg movement is part of *Myrmarachne* species' mimicry is debated. In this study the leg I movement of four *Myrmarachne* species was investigated, with particular attention given to the frequency and amplitude of the leg waving. Correlations between the leg waving and other behaviors of *Myrmarachne* species were also looked at. There were interspecific differences in leg I movement, and the leg I waving also depended on other behaviors such as "bobbing" the opisthosoma. Thus the leg I movement of *Myrmarachne* species is behavioral mimicry of the antennal movement of ants, in other words increasing the spiders' resemblance to the ants to avoid predation. This "antennal illusion" displays characteristics of a plastic trait which has evolved under selection pressure.

Keywords: Behavior, antennal illusion, mimic, myrmecomorphy

Ant-mimicry in arthropods, the morphological, chemical and/or behavioral resemblance of specialized arthropod species to ants (Rettenmeyer 1970; McIver & Stonedahl 1993), is widespread and has - specifically in spiders - evolved repeatedly in families such as the Salticidae and the Clubionidae (for review see Cushing 1997). Batesian (Bates 1862) ant mimics must live in sympatry with their models, resembling their noxious or aggressive model thereby deceiving potential predators and thus gaining protection. The selection pressure exerted by predators is strong and, as a consequence, traits associated with Batesian mimicry are expected to evolve rapidly (Mappes & Alatalo 1997). The resemblance of Batesian mimics of ants to their models (also known as myrmecomorphs) can be both morphological and behavioral. A constriction in the middle of the carapace and a shiny opisthosoma shaped similarly to the ant's abdomen are some of the morphological adaptations of ant-mimicking spiders. The constricted carapace gives the spider the appearance of a three-segmented body like that of ants, whereas the shiny look of the opisthosoma - obtained through the presence of specialized or scale-like setae - and its shape further increase the mimic's resemblance to the ant (McIver & Stonedahl 1993).

Myrmecomorphs often reinforce their morphological resemblance to ants through ant-like behaviors. These behaviors include walking in a zig-zag motion (Reiskind 1977; Pekár & Král 2002), moving their opisthosoma up and down ("twitch abdomen" in Jackson 1982) in a manner similar to gaster bobbing in ants, and waving their first pair of legs in the air while walking on the remaining three pairs (Reiskind 1977). This movement of the first pair of legs in myrmecomorphs is generally thought of as behavioral mimicry, and has therefore been termed "antennal illusion" (Reiskind 1977). In some cases however, this leg movement is carried out by spiders to detect chemical cues from ants, as in the ant-eating zodariid spider Habronestes bradlevi (Pickard-Cambridge 1869) (Allan et al. 1996). Thus, the purpose of this leg I movement is not always clear as Pekár & Král (2002) stipulate in their study on Zodarion, suggesting that the "antennal illusion" of these spiders could be a form of threat display towards the ants rather than a means of detecting airborne chemicals. The leg I movement can vary greatly among species as well as between males and females of the same species, as was found in a species of *Sarinda* cited by Jackson & Drummond (1974) as *Sarinda* linda Reiskind (not listed in Platnick 2008).

Myrmaraclme McLeay 1835 (Araneae, Salticidae) is a highly speciose genus of salticids whose species bear a striking resemblance to different ant species with which they associate closely and whose individuals also wave their first pair of legs in the air (Mathew 1954; Edmunds 1978; Jackson 1986). Since many ant species are aggressive and attack animals not belonging to their colony (Hölldobler & Wilson 1990), the close association of ant-mimics with ants can be dangerous (Halaj et al. 1997; Nelson et al. 2004; Nelson et al. 2005). Myrmarachne species, however, have developed special behaviors allowing them to deal with the aggressive nature of ants (Ceccarelli 2007) and, as myrmecomorphs have been shown to have higher rates of survival than non-ant associating spiders (Edmunds 1993; Nelson et al. 2004), establishing that these spiders are Batesian mimics. Myrmarachne species are diurnal, and rely heavily on visual, rather than chemical cues (Nelson & Jackson 2007), which means that they are unlikely to wave their legs I as a means of picking up chemical cues.

Using four Myrmarachne species as examples of Batesian mimics, this study investigates the nature of leg I movement in these salticids. The questions addressed are how do leg I movements differ between Myrmarachne species and sex, how do other behaviors influence these movements, and is there a relationship between leg I movement of each Myrmarachne species and antennal movement of the corresponding ant species? These questions help us understand the nature of leg I movement in a typical Batesian antmimic. If the leg I movement is a means of picking up chemical cues we would expect it to be much more random than if it is really a Batesian mimicry trait. In contrast, if the leg I movement is a component of Batesian mimicry we would expect this behavior to display properties similar to other traits under selection pressure, such as interspecific differences and plasticity.



Figure 1.—Paired photographs of *Myrmarachne* mimics and their respective model ant species from Townsville. *Myrmarachne* sp. A (A) and model ant *Opisthopsis haddoni* (B); *Myrmarachne* sp. B (C) and model ant *Polyrhachis* nr.obtusa (D); *Myrmarachne* sp. D (E) and model ant *Tetraponera punctulata* (F); *Myrmarachne* sp. F (G) and model ant *Oecophylla smaragdina* (H) (modified from Ceccarelli & Crozier 2007).

METHODS

This study was carried out at the James Cook University campus in Townsville, North Queensland, Australia (19°13'S, 146°48'E) using sympatric species of local *Myrmarachne* (species used here designated sp. A, B, D, and F) and their respective model ant species. The *Myrmarachne* species are undescribed to date, but species integrity has been established through DNA analysis (Ceccarelli & Crozier 2007) and taxonomic work is under way. Mimic-model associations between *Myrmarachne* and sympatric ant species were determined visually by identifying the ant species that had the closest morphological resemblance to each *Myrmarachne* species (see Fig. 1). In addition, each *Myrmarachne* species was collected within a 5 meter radius of a determined model ant species' colony.

Voucher specimens of all species used in this study have been deposited in the arachnid and entomology collections of the Queensland Museum (Brisbane, Australia) with the following accession numbers: S66648 (*Myrmarachne* sp. A); S66649 (*Myrmarachne* sp. B); S66650 (*Myrmarachne* sp. D); S66651 (*Myrmarachne* sp. F); T133706–707 (*Opisthopsis* haddoni workers); T133693–696 (*Polyrhachis* nr. obtusa workers); T133697–699 (*Tetraponera punctulata* workers); T133700–702 (*Oecophylla smaragdina* workers). The numbers of individual females and males for *Myrmarachne* species used in this study are shown in Table 1. For the ants, 15 individuals from each species were used.

The animals were collected and brought into the lab for video recording. An individual Myrmarachne and one ant

Table 1.—Numbers of male and female *Myrmarachne* of each species used in this study

Myrmarachne species	А	В	D	F
Females	9	8	9	10
Males	6	7	6	5

(random model or non-model) were videotaped inside a 10 cm diameter Petri dish. Recordings were made for 1 h, on each occasion using a different pair of animals as well as a new Petri dish, thus avoiding possible effects on behavior from chemical cues left from a previous encounter. The recording was carried out using a low light, high resolution video camera connected to a video recorder. The subsequent analysis was done using a SVHS player connected to a computer, using the program Adobe Premiere (version 4.2). The hour-long recordings were parsed twice, each time recording different variables from different parts of the tape.

During the first videotape pass, twenty instances were analyzed (the first ten at the start of the recording and the next ten starting from 30 min into the tape) when the spider showed a reaction to the presence of the ant. The analysis involved recording whether the spider was waving its first pair of legs, lifting them up without waving them, and/or bobbing its opisthosoma (as shown in Table 2). These data were recorded to find out how often *Myrmarachne* wave their legs I (as opposed to carrying out other forms of behavior) when encountering ants and provided us with count data on how frequently the spiders carried out each behavior.

The second videotape pass involved taking ten measurements per hour (every 6 min) of the frequency (cycles per second) at which *Myrmarachne* individuals waved their first pair of legs and the amplitude (in mm) of the leg movement.

Table 2.-Behavioral traits observed in Myrmarachne species

Behavior	Explanation		
none	neither waving the legs nor bobbing the opisthosoma		
wave	waving the first pair of legs up and down		
bob	only bobbing the opisthosoma		
wave + bob	waving the first pair of legs up and down and bobbing		
	the opisthosoma at the same time		
lift	first pair of legs raised in the air and held there		
	without the up-and-down movement		



Figure 2.—Relative frequencies of the type of behaviors (as described in Table 2) of all four *Myrmarachne* species (A, B, D, and F). ($\chi^2_{12} = 142.69$, P < 0.001).

When measuring the frequency, one cycle was taken to be the movement of the leg from one point through both top and bottom extremities and back to the starting point. The amplitude was measured from the highest apex of the tip of leg I to the substrate. To avoid negative values, the substrate was chosen as a starting point for the amplitude measurement since the leg I waving often started from the substrate. At the same time co-occurring activities were recorded, namely whether or not the *Myrmarachne* was moving (walking or



Figure 4.—Notched boxplot of the frequency of leg I waving for each *Myrmarachne* species and sex. Species and sex are coded as: AF = females of species A, AM = males of species A; BF = females of species B, BM = males of species B; DF = females of species D, DM = males of species D; FF = females of species F, FM = males of species F. (ANOVA for species: $F_{(3,57)} = 6.41$, P < 0.001; ANOVA for sex: $F_{(1,57)} = 1.10$, P = 0.298; ANOVA for species-sex interaction: $F_{(3,57)} = 0.56$, P = 0.643).



Type of behavior observed

Figure 3.—Relative frequencies of types of behaviors (as described in Table 2) of *Myrmarachne* females and males. Females are represented by the black bars and males by the white bars. ($\chi^2_4 =$ 114.07, P < 0.001).



Myrmarachne species and sex

Figure 5.—Notched boxplot of the amplitude of leg I waving for each *Myrmarachne* species and sex. Species and sex coded as: AF = females of species A, AM = males of species A; BF = females of species B, BM = males of species B; DF = females of species D, DM = males of species D; FF = females of species F, FM = males of species F. (ANOVA for species: $F_{(3,57)} = 12.20$, P < 0.001; ANOVA for sex: $F_{(1,57)} = 0.005$, P = 0.944; ANOVA for species-sex interaction: $F_{(3,57)} = 1.63$, P = 0.192).



Frequency of leg I waving (cycles/sec.)

Figure 6.—Scatterplots of frequency of leg I waving (in cycles per second) on the x-axes, versus the amplitude of leg I waving (in mm) on the yaxes for each *Myrmarachne* species separately. The species are "A," "B," "D," and "F" as shown on the top right-hand corner of each graph. Data for females is represented by the circles, with the solid best-fit line, whereas data for the males is represented by the triangles and the dashed best-fit line.

running), whether it was bobbing its opisthosoma and whether or not it had the ant in its field of vision (i.e., it was facing the ant with its anterior median eyes). Again, these variables were recorded to find any possible correlations with the leg I movement. This part of the study was also carried out to find interspecific differences in *Myrmarachne* with regard to the average speed and amplitude of the leg waving. The same measurements were recorded for the frequency (cycles/second) and amplitude of antennal movements in the model ant species.

Data analysis was carried out using the program R version 2.1.1 (R_Development_Core_Team 2005) with the rpart package (Therneau et al. 2005) to build recursive partitioning trees to identify the variables most closely associated with the different groups of antennal illusion and bobbing. Recursive partitioning analysis was popularized by Breiman et al. (1984),

Table 3.—Results of Mantel test for distance matrices of leg/antennal frequency and amplitude for each *Myrmarachne* and ant species. Values shown in table are Mantel's r based on Pearson's product-moment correlation followed by the significance value. Significant correlations (P < 0.05) are shown in bold.

Ant species	Myrmarachne species					
	А	В	D	F		
Opisthopsis haddoni	r = 0.23 P = 0.002	r = -0.03 P = 0.676	r = 0.06 P = 0.080	r = 0.03 R = 0.200		
Polyrhachis nr. obtusa	r = 0.04 $r = 0.04$	r = 0.076 r = 0.12	r = 0.089 r = 0.11	r = 0.299 r = 0.07		
Tetraponera punctulata	P = 0.254 r = -0.01	P = 0.056 $r = 0.07$	P = 0.012 r = -0.01	P = 0.143 r = 0.12		
Oecophylla smaragdina	P = 0.517 r = 0.002	P = 0.106 r = 0.0007	P = 0.564 $r = 0.06$	P = 0.015 r = 0.07		
	P = 0.471	P = 0.488	P = 0.066	P = 0.081		



Figure 7.—Recursive partitioning tree of frequency (cycles/second) of leg I waving (values at the end of the branches) by all *Myrmarachne* species, and the variables that are most closely correlated with a particular frequency value. "motion" refers to whether the *Myrmarachne* was moving (y) or not (n), "bob" refers to whether the *Myrmarachne* was moving its opisthosoma up and down (y) or not (n), "myr" is the species of *Myrmarachne* (A, B, D, and F). The letter n at the end of the frequency value represents the number of times that frequency value was placed in correlation with the predictor variables.

and is now widely used in various areas of science (e.g., Lehmann et al. 2003; Karels et al. 2004). This analysis is used to find correlations between mixed (categorical and/or numerical) variables, and the resulting tree shows the dependent variable at the end of the branches and each predictor variable at the nodes. The closer the variable is to the root node of the tree, the higher its predictive value on the outcomes. Repeated measures ANOVA was carried out to determine if there were significant differences in the frequency and amplitude of leg I waving between Myrmarachne species and sex. Chi-squared contingency tests were also carried out to find possible differences in behavioral mimicry (count data on the categories described in Table 2) between Myrmarachne species and males and females. In addition, a Pearson's correlation test was carried out to find possible correlations between leg I waving frequency and amplitude. This was done to see whether – in the case of a significant correlation – an index of leg I frequency over leg I amplitude could be used as a general measure of leg I movement. Further, Pearson's correlation tests were then carried out to find possible correlations between the leg I movements of Myrmarachne species and the antennal movements of their respective model ant species. In case of non-significant results for the Pearson's correlation tests on the leg I/antennal indices, a Mantel's test on distance matrices for frequency and amplitude of model ant antennal movement and Myrmarachne leg I movement was also performed on the data.

RESULTS

In this study, when the four *Myrmarachne* species reacted to the presence of ants there was a significant difference in the way they carried out the different behaviors, including the leg I waving (see Fig. 2). *Myrmarachne* species B and D waved their legs I and bobbed their opisthosoma more frequently than species A and F, whereas the latter lifted their legs I without waving more often than species B and D. There was a significant difference between *Myrmarachne* males and females in how frequently they carried out each type of behavior (see Fig. 3). The main difference between males and females was that males bobbed their opisthosoma and waved their first pair of legs more frequently than females.

The frequency (measured in cycles/second) as well as the amplitude of the leg I movement was significantly different between the *Myrmarachne* species but not between *Myrmarachne* males and females (see Figs 4 and 5). There was a positive correlation (Pearson's r = 0.337, P < 0.001) between the frequency and amplitude of the leg I waving for all *Myrmarachne* species. This correlation gives an indication of the intensity of the movement: the higher up the spider moved its first pair of legs, the quicker it moved them up and down. This trend was consistent throughout all species and both sexes (see Fig. 6). This correlation allowed for the calculation of a general leg and antennal waving index (frequency divided by amplitude).

When the leg waving of each *Myrmarachne* species was compared to the antennal movements of the respective model ants, no significant correlation was found, whether between the frequencies, amplitudes or indices. The Mantel test for leg I waving frequency and amplitude of *Myrmarachne* species versus the antennal waving frequency and amplitude of ant species showed significant correlations between *Myrmarachne* sp. A and *Opisthopsis haddoni*, *Myrmarachne* sp. D and *Polyrhachis* nr. *obtusa*, and *Myrmarachne* sp. D and *Tetraponera punctulata* (see Table 3). There is only one case where a correlation between the antennal movements of the model and the leg I movement of the mimic was found (*Myrmarachne* sp. A and *Opisthopsis haddoni*).

The strongest predictor variable for the frequency of Myrmarachne's leg I waving was found to be whether the spider was moving (walking or running). The species of spider and whether or not the spider was bobbing its opisthosoma were the next most important factors influencing the leg I waving frequency. Thus, the lowest frequencies of leg I waving were most closely correlated with the spider being stationary and not bobbing its opisthosoma. When spiders were walking or running, the higher frequencies of leg I waving were more closely correlated with individuals belonging to Myrmarachne sp. B (see Fig. 7). The highest leg I amplitude was correlated most closely with Myrmarachne spp. B and F moving (walking or running) whereas the lowest leg I amplitude was correlated with species A and D not moving. The sex of the spider was only a determining factor for leg I amplitude when species B and F were stationary (see Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

When *Myrmarachne* species reacted to sympatric ants, they commonly waved their first pair of legs, lifting them without the up-and-down movement, and/or "bobbed" their opistho-



Figure 8.—Recursive partitioning tree of amplitude (in mm, values at the end of the branches) of leg I waving by all *Myrmarachne* species. "Myr" is the species of *Myrmarachne* (A, B, D and F), "motion" refers to whether the *Myrmarachne* was moving (y) or not (n), "sex" is the sex of *Myrmarachne* (M = male, F = female), and "ant" refers to the ant species (Oh = Opisthopsis haddoni, Po = Polyrhachis nr. obtusa, Tp = *Tetraponera punctulata*, Os = Oecophylla smaragdina) present in the Petri dish with the spider. The letter n at the end of the amplitude value represents the number of times that amplitude value was placed in correlation with the predictor variables.

soma. This versatile range of behaviors carried out at different frequencies by the four Myrmarachne species and between males and females is evidence for behavioral plasticity in these spiders. The up-and-down movement of the opisthosoma here referred to as "bobbing" - has been observed and commented on before (Jackson 1982) and much resembles the abdominal movements of ants recruiting nest-mates for the defence of the eolony (Hölldobler 1983; Mercier et al. 1997). The lifting of the first pair of legs also resembles the high-held antennae of ants during aggressive displays (Hölldobler 1983; Hölldobler & Wilson 1990). The detailed analysis of the leg waving behavior revealed further interspecific differences in the frequency (or rate, measured as cycles/second) and amplitude with which the Myrmarachne species moved their first pair of legs. This motion resembles the antennal movement of ants, yet the leg I waving of each Myrmarachne species did not closely match the antennal movements of their respective model ant species. Correlations between the antennal movement of each ant species and the leg I movement of the respective Myrmarachne mimic species would have been unrealistic, since there are anatomical and morphological differences between ants' antennae and spiders' legs, and therefore similar movements from these two appendages cannot be expected.

In nature, each *Myrmarachne* species closely associates with an ant species (Edmunds 1978), and the visual similarity of the spider with the ant is striking (Mathew 1954; Jackson 1986). Myrmarachne species are Batesian ant mimics, since they do not routinely prey on ants (Jackson 1986; Jackson & Willey 1994), and they are not preyed on as often as non-ant mimics (Edmunds 1993; Nelson et al. 2004; Nelson et al. 2005). The Myrmarachne species' leg waving behavior analyzed in this study is likely to be a Batesian mimicry trait, which - like other phenotypic traits in Batesian mimicry - is under strong selection pressure exerted by predation (Mappes & Alatalo 1997). Thus we found interspecific differences and behavioral versatility and plasticity since all these features arise through selection pressure during evolution. The differences between males and females in how often they perform the leg I waving behavior can be explained by the fact that male Myrmarachne spiders spend more time exposed to predators when outside their retreats searching for females (Jackson 1982), and must therefore be more convincing mimics than females. In addition, Myrmarachne individuals that were walking or

Waving the first pair of legs has been observed in several other species of spiders, such as Habronestes bradleyi (Pickard-Cambridge 1869) (Allan et al. 1996), Zodarion germanicum (Koch 1837) and Z. rubidium Simon 1914 (Pekár & Král 2002), and Sarinda linda Reiskind (Jackson & Drummond 1974). However the purpose of this leg movement is not the same for all species. In *H. bradlevi*, waving the first pair of legs was found to be a means of detecting alarm pheromones from ants for the spider to prey on (Allan et al. 1996), and in Zodarion species it could be a threat display towards the ants (Pekár & Král 2002). Jackson and Drummond (1974) found that males wave their first pair of legs more often than females. So the question arises whether this leg movement is higher in males that are trying to detect female pheromones. However, according to Gaskett (2007) the receptors that detect female pheromones are located on the pedipalps and not on the legs. Furthermore, Nelson and Jackson (2007) showed that Myrmarachne assimilis Banks 1930 males use visual, rather than chemical cues to deteet the presence of females. Since Myrmarache species do not normally prey on ants, and rely on visual rather than chemical cues, waving their first pair of legs is unlikely to be used as a means of detecting airborne chemicals. In the cases where the spiders wave their first pair of legs to mimic the antennal movement of ants, this behavior has been referred to as "antennal illusion" (Reiskind 1977). All the evidence – including the outcomes of this study – support the hypothesis that leg I waving in Myrmarachne species is in fact an "antennal illusion," or a trait that has evolved to reinforce the spiders' Batesian ant mimicry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the School of Tropical Biology for research funds, Ross Crozier and Richard Rowe for use of their laboratories, Richard Rowe and two anonymous referees for comments on the manuscript, and Chris Burwell at the Queensland Museum for identifying ant specimens.

LITERATURE CITED

- Allan, R.A., M.A. Elgar & R.J. Capon. 1996. Exploitation of an ant chemical alarm signal by the zodariid spider *Habronestes bradleyi* Walckenaer. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 263:69–73.
- Bates, H.W. 1862. Contributions to an insect fauna of the Amazon valley. Lepidoptera: Heliconidae. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London 23:495–566.
- Breiman, L., J.H. Friedman, R.A. Olshen & C.J. Stone. 1984. Classification and Regression Trees. Wadsworth, Belmont, California. 368 pp.
- Ceccarelli, F.S. 2007. Contact between *Myrmarachme* (Araneae: Salticidae) and ants. Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society 14:54–58.
- Ceccarelli, F.S. & R.H. Crozier. 2007. Dynamics of the evolution of Batesian mimicry: molecular phylogenetic analysis of ant-mimicking *Myrmarachne* (Araneae: Salticidae) species and their ant models. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 20:286–295.
- Cushing, P.E. 1997. Myrmecomorphy and myrmecophily in spiders: a review. Florida Entomologist 80:165–193.

- Edmunds, M. 1993. Does mimicry of ants reduce predation by wasps on salticid spiders? Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 33:507–512.
- Gaskett, A.C. 2007. Spider sex pheromones: emission, reception, structures, and functions. Biological Reviews 82:26–48.
- Halaj, J., D.W. Ross & A.R. Moldenke. 1997. Negative effects of ant foraging on spiders in Douglas-fir canopies. Oecologia 109: 313–322.
- Hölldobler, B. 1983. Territorial behavior in the green tree ant (*Oecophylla smaragdina*). Biotropica 15:241–250.
- Hölldobler, B. & E.O. Wilson. 1990. The Ants. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. 746 pp.
- Jackson, J.F. & B.A. Drummond. 1974. A batesian ant-mimicry complex from the mountain pine ridge of British Honduras, with an example of transformational mimicry. American Midland Naturalist 91:248–251.
- Jackson, R.R. 1982. The biology of ant-like jumping spiders: intraspecific interactions of *Myrmarachue lupata* (Araneae, Salticidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 76:293–319.
- Jackson, R.R. 1986. The biology of ant-like jumping spiders (Araneae Salticidae): prey and predatory behaviour of *Myrmarachne* with particular attention to *M. lupata* from Queensland. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 88:179–190.
- Jackson, R.R. & M.B. Willey. 1994. The comparative study of the predatory behaviour of *Myrmarachne*, ant-like jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 110:77–102.
- Karels, T.J., A.A. Bryant & D.S. Hik. 2004. Comparison of discriminant function and classification tree analyses for age classification of marmots. Oikos 105:575–587.
- Lehmann, G., C. Schmitt, V. Kehl, S. Schmieder & A. Schomig. 2003. Electrocardiographic algorithm for assignment of occluded vessel in acute myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology 89:79–85.
- Mappes, J. & R.V. Alatalo. 1997. Batesian mimicry and signal accuracy. Evolution 51:2050–2053.
- Mathew, A.P. 1954. Observations on the habits of two spider mimics of the red ant, *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Fabr.). Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society 52:249–263.
- McIver, J.D. & G. Stonedahl. 1993. Myrmecomorphy: morphological and behavioural mimicry of ants. Annual Review of Entomology 38:351–379.
- Mercier, J.L., A. Lenoir & A. Dejean. 1997. Ritualised versus aggressive behaviours displayed by *Polyrhachis laboriosa* (F. Smith) during intraspecific competition. Behavioural Processes 41:39–50.
- Nelson, X.J. & R.R. Jackson. 2007. Complex display behaviour during the intraspecific interactions of myrmecomorphic jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae). Journal of Natural History 41: 1659–1678.
- Nelson, X.J., R.R. Jackson, G.B. Edwards & A.T. Barrion. 2005. Living with the enemy: jumping spiders that mimic weaver ants. Journal of Arachnology 33:813–819.
- Nelson, X.J., R.R. Jackson, S.D. Pollard, G.B. Edwards & A.T. Barrion. 2004. Predation by ants on jumping spiders (Araneae : Salticidae) in the Philippines. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 31:45–56.
- Pekár, S. & J. Král. 2002. Mimicry complex in two central European zodariid spiders (Araneae: Zodariidae): how Zodarion deceives ants. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 75:517–532.
- Platnick, N.I. 2008. The World Spider Catalog. Version 8.5. American Museum of Natural History, New York. Online at http://research. amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/INTRO1.html

- R_Development_Core_Team. 2005. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Online at http://www.R-project.org.
- Reiskind, J. 1977. Ant-mimicry in Panamanian clubionid and salticid spiders (Araneae: Clubionidae, Salticidae). Biotropica 9:1–8.
- Rettenmeyer, C.W. 1970. Insect mimicry. Annual Review of Entomology 15:43-74.
- Therneau, T.M., B. Atkinson & B. Ripley. 2005. rpart: Recursive Partitioning. R package. Online at http://www.mayo.edu/hsr/ Sfunc.html.

Manuscript received 14 December 2007, revised 28 May 2008.